City Manager Report

Operations

- > Omicron
- ➢ Grants

<u>Projects</u>

- East Main
 - o Date and time for City walk through is all that remain prior to issuing final punch list and closing out.
- > TDA CDBG Sewer Line Grant
 - o Bids have been opened and awarding of the bid and issuance of notice to proceed are the next steps.
- > Carrizo Water Line
 - O Well motors have been received by Wiesinger and are being stored until such time as construction of the Transmission line/plant provides for them to be installed. In exchange for us closing out the contract and releasing the retainage, Wiesinger will install the motors without further compensation due to that being part of their scope.
- Convention Center
 - o Architect is finalizing revisions and adding some "interior" (seating, tables, etc..) renderings. Once completed we will schedule a workshop.
- > 8" Water Line Extension
 - o Line has been installed and covered over.

Summary of Activities for DECEMBER 2021

Summary of task below are in conjunction with routine task performed by field staff in providing water & wastewater treatment, maintenance.

Management Activities:

- Cody turned in resignation (12/09/2021)
- Jerry Higgins turned in resignation (12/10/2021)
- Maria Urrurtia turned in resignation (12/28/2021)
- · Sent Mr. Linn information on water restriction / also getting information on equipment and fusion machine
- Sent email to Monk INC, concerning aerators invoices for TCEQ, received and forwarded to TCEQ
- Interviews for Public Works
- · Completed separation notice for Juan Benavides per Ruby Mowles

Projects:

- 8" water line extension under way.
- Loma Alta still waiting on bores / boring started on 12/29/2021 (Karnes)
- Generators, the one for the RO has been shipped, others still to be around May or June 2022
- Meet with SLP at project sites / Karnes and Loma Alta (bores and meters tie ins)
- Pre construction meeting 6S Engineering (CDBG) sewer lines, Waco and Live Oak

TCEQ:

- Sent TCEQ update information on SEP for WWTP Phase II
- Sent TCEQ Quarterly report per violation extension. 12/01/2021

Notes:

- · Worked at the Christmas in Kenedy event
- Replaced meter at HEB gas station (3" mag meter) also replaced meter at Hampton Inn (2" compound"
- · Check on graffiti at monitor wells, pipes across Escondido drainage ditch
- Low pressure call, Cottonwood area (air locked PRV) flushed and cleaned screens

Training Activities

INFORMATIONAL MORNING MEETINGS ABOUT WHAT WE DO AND WHY WE DO IT

 City of Kenedy hosted the Monthly TWUA meeting, City of Kenedy attendees, Jerry Higgins, Gary Paredez, Hector Salinas, Austin Bryan, Andrew Gilley, Mark Garcia and Councilman Johnny Rodriguez

The reports listed above are included in your packet and compiled by the department Supervisor

<u>SUMMARY:</u>

- 1. Public Works activity report (Gary Paredez)
- 2. Water MOR (Hector Salinas)
- 3. Water Loss Report (Gary Paredez)
- 4. Water Stage Restrictions (Gary Paredez)
- 5. Field Operations Report (work orders) (Gary Paredez)
- 6. Wastewater Treatment Plant Report (Mark Garcia)
- 7. SSO's (Mark Garcia)

Gary Paredez
Public Works DirectorP

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

MONTHLY REPORT

WATER WORKS OPERATION FOR GROUND WATER SUPPLIES (1) NAME OF SYSTEM - CITY OF KENEDY (1a) WATER SYSTEM I.D. NO. - 1280002

COUNTY OF KARNES MONTH OF DECEMBER 2021

Day of		Pumpage to Distrib	ution Syste	m	(6) Disinfection	(7) Corrosion	(8) Taste/ Odor	(9) Fluoride
Week	(2) Direct from Wells to Plant	(3) From Ground Storage to Distribution System	(4) Purchased from Others	(5) Total Pumpage to Distribution	LBS>/DAY	Control	Control	Residuals
1	1,678,000	1,105,439		1,105,439	26			
2	1,483,000	1,292,461		1,292,461	23			
3	1,602,000	1,224,806		1,224,806	24			
4	1,487,000	1,135,389		1,135,389	21			
5	1,536,000	1,323,839		1,323,839	26			
6	1,484,000	1,044,606		1,044,606	21			
7	1,249,000	1,165,300		1,165,300	21			
8	1,739,000	1,247,900		1,247,900	25			
9	1,483,000	1,239,928		1,239,928	25			
10	1,483,000	1,215,522		1,215,522	23			
11	1,517,000	1,010,678		1,010,678	14			
12	1,302,000	1,050,289		1,050,289	21			
13	1,562,000	1,247,678		1,247,678	26			
14	1,561,000	1,265,811		1,265,811	24			
15	1,741,000	1,299,061		1,299,061	26			
16	1,754,000	1,213,417		1,213,417	25			
17	1,494,000	1,190,261		1,190,261	24			
18	1,466,000	1,300,189		1,300,189	25			
19	1,471,000	1,416,039		1,416,039	26			
20	1,469,000	759,450		759,450	14			
21	1,520,000	1,184,550		1,184,550	22			
22	1,712,000	1,193,833		1,193,833	24			
23	1,327,000	1,125,361		1,125,361	19			
24	1,749,000	1,246,478		1,246,478	27			
25	1,213,000	1,094,294		1,094,294	18			
26	1,498,000	1,116,572		1,116,572	23			
27	1,516,000	1,280,750		1,280,750	20			
28	1,486,000	1,262,717		1,262,717	25		<u> </u>	
29	1,679,000	1,162,989		1,162,989	26			
30	1,705,000	1,260,394		1,260,394	22			
31	1,663,000	1,218,189		1,218,189	25			
Total	47,629,000	36,894,190		36,894,190	711			
Avg.	1,536,419	1,190,135		1,190,135	22.93548			
Max.	1,754,000	1,416,039		1,416,039	27			
Min.	1,213,000	759,450		759,450	14			<u> </u>

No. Of Active Services (10) - 1,614 Meters Chemical Analysis (11) 1-01-2021

3,133 Connections

Dates and Results of Bacteriological Analysis (12) - December 07, 2021 / 7 Samples submitted - All O.K.

Reservoirs of Tanks Checked - JULY 12, 2021

Dead Ends Flushed (14) - 23

General Remarks (15) -

Submitted By (16) _____ Certificate No. and Class (17)

WG0015819

Class C - Ground Water

Report prepared by Hector Salinas & Austin Bryan on 01-03-2022



WATER LOSS REPORT

(BASED ON BILLING CYCLE - November - December 2021

_	-	LEAKS	Gallons
WATER PRODUCED FROM WELLS:	36,769,000	1	17,433
WATER ACCOUNTED FOR AT METERS THROUGH BILLING:	25,457,300	2	12,208
		3	6,125
WATER UNACCOUNTED FOR THROUGH READINGS AND BILLINGS:	11,311,700	4	170,000
		5	10,917
Un-METERED WATER (AUTHORIZED)		6	
FIRE DEPARTMEN USE:	1,500	7	
SEWER DEPARTMENT USE:	600	8	
UNBILLED CONSUMPTION:	0	9	
TOTAL UN-METERED WATER (AUTHORIZED):	2,100	10	
		11	
METERED (COMMERCIAL / OTHER)		12	
BULK WATER:	125,000	13	
CONTRACTOR:	75,000	14	
FLUSHED LINES:	181,790	15	
WATER ACCOUNTED FOR IN STORAGE AND LINES:	3,465,248	16	
WATER LEAKS		17	
NUMBER OF WATER LEAKS:	5	18	
WATER LEAK WATER LOSS ESTIMATES:	216,683	19	
		20	
TOTAL OF WATER ACCOUNTED FOR:	29,523,121	21	
WATER LOSS:	7,245,879	22	
WATER LOSS PERCENT:	19.71%	TOTAL	216,683

MONTH Dec-21	Total Water to Distribution	Total Ro Production per day 1704 gpm	Stage I 65%	Stage II 75 %	Stage III 85%	Stage IV 90%
1	1,105,439	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
2	1,292,461	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
3	1,224,806	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
4	1,135,389	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
5	1,323,839	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
6	1,044,606	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
7	1,165,300	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
8	1,247,900	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
9	1,239,928	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
10	1,215,522	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
11	1,010,678	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
12	1,050,289	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
13	1,247,678	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
14	1,265,811	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
15	1,299,061	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
16	1,213,417	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
17	1,190,261	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
18	1,300,189	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
19	1,416,039	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
20	759,450	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
21	1,184,550	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
22	1,193,833	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
23	1,125,361	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
24	1,246,478	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
25	1,094,294	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
26	1,116,572	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
27	1,280,750	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
28	1,262,717	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
29	1,162,989	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
30	1,260,394	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
31	1,218,189	2,453,760	1,594,944	1,840,320	2,085,696	2,208,384
	36,894,190					

WATER REPORT ACCURANTE OCT. NOV. DEC. RACOUNDIATED WATER WOSS 277 2021		JAN. FEB. MAR. 2022 2022 2022 2022 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	APR. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2002 0 0 0 0	Jul. 2022 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	AUG.	2002 0 0	ANNIAL TOTALS 611 611 611 611 611 7 7 7 7 7 7 124 24 93 93 93 11 11 84 86 86
National		0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 000	0 000	611 611 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 9 9 9 8 8 6 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Nater Wos 276 277		0 0 0	0 000	0 0 0	0 0 N	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	611 611 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 9 9 9 8 8 9 8 8 9 8 8 9 8 8 9 8 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9
Naterwork		0 0 0	0 0 0	0 00	0 0	0 0 0	, , , ,	0 0	0 0 0	282 282 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
134 148		0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 00	0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	282 282 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 9 9 9 9 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
UNANE E LE LE LE LE LE LE LE LE L		0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0	o N	0 0	0 0	0 10	2 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
OFF 5 3 3 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		0 0	0 00	0 0	0 0	0 00	0 0	0 0	0 0	124 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
UNATE 5 3 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		0 0 0	0 00	0 0	0 N	0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	24 124 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Se Sc Sc		0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0 00	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	24 24 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27
Se S2 S2 S2 S2 S2 S2 S2		0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	124 24 24 98 98 611 11 11 11 86 86 86 86
1		0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 10	2 2 44 98 93 93 93 93 93 70 70
15 8 36 42 15 277 15 277 16 277 17 2 17 2 18 214 18 31 31 18 31 19 31 10 31 11 31 12 48 12 48 13 31 14 31 15 4 16 2 17 4 18 18 16 19 2 10 3 10 3 11 4 12 4 13 4 14 3 15 4 16 3 17 4 18 19 3 10 3 11 4 12 4 13 4 14 4 15 5 16 5 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 19 11 19 11 19 12 14 13 14 14 15 15 16 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 19 19 19 10 19 10 19 11 19 12 19 13 19 14 19 15 19 16 19 17 19 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19		0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	24 98 611 2 2 93 93 93 93 86 86 2 70
LS 276 277 LS 276 277 AMNE		0 00	0 00	0 00	0 0	0 00	0 N	0 0	0 0 0	2 2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
LS 276 277 UANCE 1 2 LTWOS 163 214 NTS 31 31 ALL 31 31 ALL 31 31 ALL 5 4 ARESSURE 5 4 ES 28 28 ES 26 36 ES 2 36 NGE CHECKS 12 48 NGE CHECKS 163 214 LIS 163 214		0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 00	0 0 0	0 0 0	611 2 2 93 93 93 84 86 86 2 70
UANCE 1 2 LTWOS 163 214 MYS 31 31 ALL 5 4 RESSURE 5 4 ES 28 28 ES 2 36 ES 2 36 NGE GHECKS 12 48 LS 163 214		0	N 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	2 524 93 93 111 11 86 86 2
NTEMANCE 163 214 MAINT WOS 163 214 APPLANTS 31 31 SIDUAL 31 31 SIDUAL 28 28 OTHER 28 28 OTHER 29 36 TENANCE CHECKS 29 36 TOALLS 163 214 OTHER 214		0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	524 93 93 11 11 86 86 2
MAINT WOS 163 214 MAINT WOS 31 31 SEDUALS 31 31 SEDUALS 31 31 SEDUALS 5 4 OW PRESSURE 5 4 OWNICES 25 36 VOTICES 2 0 TENANCE CHECKS 12 48 TO CALLS 163 214		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	93 93 93 11 11 84 86 2 2
SPACE 31 31 SPACE 31 31 SUPPLESSURE 5 4 OW PRESSURE 28 28 25 36 VOTICES 2 0 TENANCE CHECKS 12 48 TOTALLS 163 214	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2									93 93 11 11 84 86 2 2
SEDUN SEDU	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2									93 11 11 86 86 2 70
SHOUAL WA PRESSURE 5 4 28 28 25 36 VOTICES 25 36 TENANCE CHECKS 12 48 TO CALLS TO CALLS	2 8 8 2 0 0									11 84 86 2 2 70
VOTICES 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	8 2 0									84 86 2 70
VOTICES 25 36 15 15 15 16 16 16 16 17 16 17 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	2 2 0 0									86 2 70
2 0 2 0 20 0 20 0 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0										70
CHECKS 12 48 29 36 163 214										70
12 48 29 36 163 214										
163 214										85
163 214	+			,				c	c	524
	47 0	0	0	•	5		•	,		
			,		,	·	c	c	c	0
2		2	2	2	~	7	7	7	4 0	
0 0 0	0	0	0	0	0				>	
									No. of Section 2018	TO CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE
OTHER MAINTENANCE REPORTS 84 102 41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	227
8 10	2									23
2 2 2										22
2	1									က
	2									53
6 8	4									16
15	2									53
5	4									13
1 2	2									ĸ
4	4									16
4 Annual mental	1									9
90	15									82
707	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	227
701										
24 39 24	24 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
603		•	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,362

CITY OF KENEDY W.W.T.P. DAILY SAMPLES

MTH/YR: December 2021

				1000	,				VEDAT	AFPATION RASIN	NIST		RAIN		INFLUENT	FNT
DAILY FLOW				EFFLUENI					ALIVA					SIAITIAI		
(MGD)	D.0.	Н	TEMP.	CI2/PRE	CI2/EFF	CI2/M AN	AMM.	D.0.	Н	· ·	30 min	30 min	INCHES	INITIALS	Н	D.0.
0.968				3.0	60.0	2.0							0.0	RTR		
0.956				2.6	0.05	1.8							0.0	RTR		
0.904				5.8	0.07	0.3							0.0	MG		
0.944				0.9	0.03	0.3							0.0	MG		
1.097				5.9	0.04	0.2							0.0	MG		
0.941				3.8	90.0	0.8							0.0	RTR		1
0.982	8.79	6.30	22.0	4.9	0.04	0.2	0.08	8.10	6.67	22.6	980	860	0.0	MG	7.35	0.54
0.972	7.27	6.40	22.7	3.9	60.0	0.7	0.05	2.29	6.57	22.6	970	790	0.0	RTR		
0.996				3.9	0.04	0.5							0.0	RTR		
0.861				2.9	0.03	8.0							0.0	RTR		
0.913				2.8	60.0	9.0							0.1	RTR		
1.005				3.8	0.04	1.0							0.0	RTR		
0.960	7.50	6.47	22.9	3.7	0.05	1.2	90.0	4.71	6.70	23.1	096	790	0.0	RTR		
1.081	9.11	69.9	20.8	4.2	0.04	0.2	0.09	3.89	6.59	23.8	970	840	0.0	MG	7.25	0.53
1.021				3.7	90.0	0.5							0.0	RTR		
1.017				2.7	0.03	0.3							0.0	RTR		
0.968				2.6	0.04	0.1							0.0	RTR		
0.920				2.4	0.02	0.1							0.0	MG		
0.976				3.0	0.03	0.2	,						0.0	MG		
0.912	7.50	6.03	22.1	2.4	0.08	0.5	0.07	3.54	6.34	22.6	980	830	0.0	RTR	7.20	0.50
0.903				2.5	0.07	0.1							0.0	MG	1	
0.882	6.90	6.11	21.7	2.2	0.05	0.2	0.07	2.50	6.30	22.0	980	825	0.0	ઇ	7.27	0.41
0.794				2.3	0.04	0.2							0.0	ყ		
0.948				2.2	0.05	0.3							0.0	ઇ		
0.953				2.1	0.04	0.3							0.0	ც		
0.895				2.0	0.03	0.2							0.0	ઇ		
0.916				2.2	0.04	0.2	0.07						0.0	ઇ		
0.943				3.8	0.04	0.2							0.0	MG		
0.940	6.69	6.34	24.6		0.05	0.5	90.0		6.50	24.2	980	820	0.0	RTR	7.18	0.52
0.942	6.76	\vdash	25.1	2.2	0.03	9.0	0.08	2.88	6.57	24.3	970	820	0.0	RTR		
0.946		_			90.0	0.8							0.0	RTR		
29.456																
0.950	7.57	6.34	22.7	3.25	0.05	0.5	0.07	3.99	6.53	23.2	974	822	0.0		7.25	0.50
0.794	69.9	6.03	20.8	2.00	0.02	0.1	0.05	2.29	6.30	22.0	960	790	0.0		7.18	0.41
1.097	9.11	_	25.1	9.00	0.09	2.0	0.09	8.10	6.70	24.3	980	860	0.1		7.35	0.54



Accidental Discharge or Spill Monthly Summary Form

See back of form for guidance for completion

	٠.
,	F
	m
	Έ
	Ļ
ę	ř
	-
•	C
	į
	PIL
	2
	-1

Permittee:

Regulated Entity Name: City of Kenedy WWTP

Regulated Entity No: 102097839

Permit No: W00010746001

Subscriber:

EPA ID No: TX0027774

TCEQ Region: Region 13 - San Antonio

County: Karnes County

Fermit No:	Permit No: WQ0010/40001	100			. (
Start Date	End Date	Volume	Location	Cause	Steps taken to	Description/Content	Standard Method for Volume Calculation
Start Time	End Time	(gallons)			and prevent		
12/29/21	12/29/21	30	Pullen st.	Line	Cleaned out	Replaced manhole so that	Visual Estimate
3:00 pm	3:30 pm			blocked due to rags and debris	debris and jetted line.	no more process was occur and keep flow normal.	
						17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 17 -	

Information	n Reported by	(Name/Ti	Information Reported by (Name/Title) Mark Garcia Wastewater Superintendent	ı Wastewater St	perintendent	Signature: Mark García	
Date Reported	1/4/2022_	2					

wastewater investigator. All information should comply with reporting requirements noted in Texas Water Code Section 26.039, 30 When reporting an accidental or unauthorized discharge or spill, it is important to include all information that is requested on the notification form. If you have questions about the form, do not hesitate to call your TCEQ Regional Office and ask to speak to a Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Section 305.132, and, if applicable, 30 TAC Section 327.32.

*If the accidental spill or discharge occurs at a Subscriber system (collection system only), use the RN associated to the collection system. If you are uncertain of your RN, you may call the TCEQ Regional Office for assistance.

following month to the Water Section Manager at your TCEQ Regional Office. The original, signed copy should be mailed to the address meets the requirements in 30 TAC 305.132 and 30 TAC 327.32. You must fax or mail a completed, signed copy within 20 days of the This form may be used in lieu of 24-hour notification to the Regional Office when the accidental or unauthorized discharge or spill located at the bottom of the form.

General Information

Entity name - permitted name or owner name for subscriber systems.

Permit Number – Your TCEQ WQ permit number (i.e., WQ0012345001). If you are a subscriber, use the RN to which the collection system is associated. If the RN is unknown, please call the TCEQ Regional Office for assistance.

Noncompliance Summary

Volume - volume must be estimated by the one of the four methods outlined in 30 TAC

Location – include address or latitude and longitude coordinates

Cause - grease, blockage, infiltration or inflow, equipment failure, power outage, other?

Steps taken reduce, eliminate or prevent recurrence – List all steps taken to ensure no further reoccurrences

Description/content – a description of the events that lead to the spill including the contents of the spill and actions taken to clean Standard Method - name the method you used to estimate the volume

Dec-21
WELL PRODUCTION / TREATED EFFLUENT

	WELLS	SEWER PLANT	MGD	RAIN
DATE	GALLONS PUMPED	TREATED FLOW	DIFFERENCE	
1	1.678	0.968	0.71	
2	1.483	0.956	0.527	
3	1,602	0.904	0.698	
4	1.487	0.944	0.543	
5	1.536	1.097	0.439	
6	1.484	0.941	0.543	
7	1.249	0.982	0.267	
8	1.739	0.972	0.767	
9	1.483	0.996	0.487	
10	1.483	0.861	0.622	
11	1.517	0.913	0.604	0.10
12	1.302	1.005	0.297	
13	1.562	0.960	0.602	
14	1.561	1.081	0.48	
15	1.741	1.021	0.72	
16	1.754	1.017	0.737	
17	1.494	0.968	0.526	
18	1.466	0.920	0.546	
19	1.471	0.976	0.495	
20	1.469	0.912	0.557	
21	1.520	0.903	0.617	
22	1.712	0.882	0.83	
23	1.327	0.794	0.533	
24	1.749	0.948	0.801	
25	1.213	0.953	0.26	
26	1.489	0.895	0.594	
27	1.516	0.916	0.6	
28	1.486	0.943	0.543	
29	1.679	0.940	0.739	
30	1.705	0.942	0.763	
31	1.663	0.946	0.717	

TOTAL	47.620	29.456	18.164	0.10



Police Chief Report

Kenedy Police Department



119 S. Third Street Kenedy, Texas 78119 (830) 583-2225 / (830) 583-2984

From the Office of the Police Chief



January 5, 2022

To: City Council

Ref: December 2021 report

Animal control:

See numbers

We were able to trap and move 11 Javelinas from Gulley Park who were tearing up the lights.

Police report

K-9 update. Training should be done by the end of Jan.
One opening in the department but we have identified a new officer starting on the 16th.
See end of year report, including the Racial Profiling report.

Rick Ashe Chief of Police chief@kenedypd.org



Animal Control - December 2021 totals

Service Calls: $\underline{36}$

Dog Pickup: 20

Cat Pickup: 16

Dead Animal Pickup: 12

Cat Euthanized: 14

Dog Euthanized: $\underline{9}$

Owner Reclaim: $\underline{\mathbf{5}}$

Adoption: $\underline{\mathbf{0}}$

Rescue Group: $\underline{\mathbf{5}}$

Deer: $\underline{\mathbf{1}}$

Snake: **0**

Opossum: $\underline{2}$

Raccoon:0

Fox: **0**

Armadillo: $\underline{\mathbf{1}}$

Warning Issued: 15

Citation Issued: 17

Kenedy Police Department



119 S. Third Street Kenedy, Texas 78119 (830) 583-2225 / (830) 583-2984


From the Office of the Police Chief



January 5, 2022

Welcome to the 2021-year end review of the Kenedy Police Department. This review is intended to give a comprehensive report on the activities and accomplishments of the Kenedy police Department. During this review we will explore all aspects of the department and activities. While 2021 was a challenging year due to the ongoing Covid-19 pandemic we still had a very successful year.

The Kenedy Police Department is currently made up of Chief Ashe, 1 Lt, 2 Sgt's and 10 officers. We also have 1 Administrative Assistant who runs the office. Kenedy Animal Control and its two officers are also under the Police Department.



TPCA Recognition Program

In February the Department had its inspection for the Police Chiefs Recognition Program. Two Police Chiefs inspected all the aspects of the Department to ensure compliance with the current best practices. This was the end of a two-year process that included updating and creating new policies, training, and physical changes to the PD. After successful inspection the Department was presented with our recognition status at the March City Council Meeting. We were also recognized at the annual Texas Police Chiefs conference in April. Out of over 2000 agencies in the state we were the 170th agency to complete the process. This was a very high honor for our department and places us in the elite status.







Security updates

In an effort to improve security in our parks we made several upgrades to the parks. In both Escondido and Gulley parks we added surveillance cameras in multiple areas. We also added four emergency call boxes to the walkways in the Escondido parkway. This gives our citizens added sense of security while in the parks. The cameras are monitored by the police department.



In an effort to help citizens better meet people for online sales to each other the PD was designated as a safe place to meet to exchange items sold on-line. The area used is on the south side of the building and is monitored by cameras. There is also a sign showing the area.



New tools

The Department also added several new tools to the Department. We now have a small drone to use when looking for suspects or missing persons. The drone is also used on special events to take video and photos. We currently have one pilot trained and another is in the process for getting his license.



Community Outreach

As part of our outreach to the community the Department participated in several community events this year. We attended the job fair at the Kenedy High School. The big hit was putting on the SWAT gear. We also handed out Child ID kits at Walmart as part of their Safety Days. In July we were part of the Cities 4th of July Event. In October we hosted a National Night out party at Gulley Park that was very well attended.





















Command Changes

In October we made a change to the structure of the Department. We changed one of the Sgt slots to a Lt slot. The Lt is now in charge of all the uniform officers in the department and he has a Sgt to assist. Lt Elizondo is also the program manager for our Recognition Program and is responsible for maintaining all the proofs of compliance that we need to keep our status.









Training and Education

The Kenedy Police Department Officers logged over 1000 hours of training for 2021. Included in the training was an instructor for the ASP baton that our officers carry. This allows us to train our officers in house without hiring instructors or sending officers to out of town classes. We also trained 2 officers as instructors for our rowing machine. We will be moving to a physical fitness program in 2022 where officers will have to complete a test on the rowing machine. We trained 2 new Field Training officers and 2 new hostage negotiators for the department.

We also trained 2 officers in the Crime Free Hotel program. This is a program that the department will use to work with local Hotels toward making their hotels safer for visitors. It is a voluntary program that we will begin in 2022.

Certifications

Police officers have to be licensed by the State to work as peace officers. There are several levels of license that are based on years of service and training hours. In order to move up you must complete certain required classes and have the time in service. One of the areas of focus of the Department is to get our officers to the next level as soon as possible. Officers also receive higher certification pay for getting to higher levels. In the past year we had 2 officers move from the Basic Officer to Intermediate. We had 4 officers move from Intermediate to Advanced and 3 officers move from Advanced to Master Peace Officer.





Community Survey results

2019 2020 2021

In the past year have	you had contact with the Kenedy	police Department.
-----------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------

Yes	76%	74%	77.5%
No	24%	26%	22.5%

To what extent does the PD communicate with the public.

Not at all or A little	32%	27%	16%
Somewhat, A lot or great extent	68%	73%	84%

Do you believe the PD treats people fairly?

Not at all or a little	34%	21%	16.49%
Somewhat, A lot or great extent	66%	79%	77.76%

To what extent do you trust the PD?

Not at all or a little	37%	17%	13.61%
Somewhat, A lot or great extent	62%	83%	83.19%

To what extent does the PD work with citizens to solve problems?

Not at all or a little	40%	22%	22.22%
Somewhat, A lot or great extent	57%	78%	77.77%

Do you feel safe in the community?

Not at all or a little	37%	21%	32.32%
Somewhat. A lot or great extent	62%	82%	76.38%

To what extent is the PD proactive?

Not at all or a little	38%	27%	19.16%
Somewhat, A lot or great extent	52%	73%	83.83%

Are the officers respectful?

Not at all or a little	25%	17%	16.23%
Somewhat, A lot or great extent	75%	82%	83.77%

Overall satisfied.

Not at all or a little	40%	28%	17.36%
Somewhat, A lot or great extent	60%	71%	82.63%

Has your opinion changed in the past year?

Not at all or a little	41%	53%	60.33%
Somewhat, A lot or great extent	59%	47%	39.67%

Kenedy Police Department 2021 Goals

- 1. Begin a drone program.
 - a. The drone was purchased with donated money from Conoco.
 - b. One pilot was trained and received his license. A second pilot is training.
- 2. Develop a physical fitness program/ rowing machine
 - a. The machine was purchased with donated funds from Conoco.
 - b. Two officers were certified as instructors for the machine.
 - c. Inservice class for given to officers on the proper use of the machine.
 - d. Testing will be in Jan 2022
- 3. Develop over all wellness program.
 - a. The physical fitness was started in 2021. This will be carried over to 2022.
- 4. Add one member to the Negotiation team.
 - a. Two officers were certified as negotiators this year giving us a total of three.
- 5. Review paperwork process and make recommended changes.
 - a. The processes were reviewed.
 - b. Several changes were made in patrol. Officers will only print cases that need to be sent to investigations.
 - c. We talked to the DA and CA about not sending paper. The DA will take thumb drives but the CA still wants paper. We will keep working on reduction.
 - d. Old files were reviewed and set aside for destruction. We are looking at a day in Jan to destroy the files.
- 6. K-9 Officer
 - a. We located a foundation that would fund the purchase and training of the dog.
 - b. The dog was purchased and trained in East Texas. The dog is a black lab named Robbie.
 - c. Officer Mercado was identified as the handler for Robbie. He is set to go to training in Jan 2022.
- 7. Challenge coins
 - a. The officers of the department designed the coin.
 - b. The coins were ordered and distributed to the officers.

Kenedy Police Department 2021



Department Use of Force Analysis

Use of Force Analysis for 2021

This analysis was conducted for the purpose of reviewing the Kenedy Police Department's Use of Force for calendar year 2021. The Kenedy Police Department takes its obligations seriously to provide the most effective and efficient police service with the least adverse impact on our citizens. The Kenedy Police Department is committed to protecting the rights of all persons and in using force only when necessary, and to the extent necessary, to achieve legal objectives. This analysis is conducted each year to comply with Texas

Law Enforcement Best Practice 6.10 and to attempt to identify methods for reducing the number of incidents and the inherent risks involved.

Officers are trained by the department to use only the level of force necessary to bring a person under control and complete a legal objective. Police/citizen encounters can however, change instantly, and officers may be required to react quickly in using force to subdue a resisting subject. While officers prefer to escalate their level of force in an orderly manner in order to use the lowest level possible, the response of a subject may limit that ability and force officers to use whatever level of force is immediately available to protect themselves and the public.

Use of Force Reporting Requirements

Texas Law Enforcement Best Practice 6.03 and Kenedy Police Department General Order 604 requires a written report be completed anytime an officer uses force. Officers are required to report all use of force that include, OC or CLE deployment, any impact weapon, Extended Range Impact weapon, discharge of a firearm, or pointing a firearm at a person. These reports must be made on the Department approved form.

Each individual Use of Force Report is immediately reviewed by the Officer's Supervisor, and through the Chain of Command to the Chief of Police. At the time of the incident, the Use of Force is reviewed to ensure the use was appropriate and within the guidelines of Department Policy and Law. If the officer used force inappropriately, a departmental investigation is required and the officer may receive additional training or in some cases discipline up to and including termination from employment. This analysis is not intended to determine if an officer acted inappropriately, but to identify department wide trends that may suggest changes in policy, training, equipment or supervision.

All reports are sent to Chief to be stored. Chief completes the annual review of use of force based on those reports.

Use of Force Comparison 2020/2021

There were a total of _14__ Reported Uses of Force this year compared to 10 last year. This represents a difference of __+4_. There was a total of __6242__ citizen contacts this year (calls for service, arrests, citations, field interviews, and other contacts) which creates an index of only one Use of Force for every __0.002_citizen contacts.

The Use of Force incidents are listed below by type with comparison to the previous year.

	2020	2021	Difference
Physical Control	2	0	
OC Pepper Spray	0	7	+7
Impact Weapon/Baton	0	0	
Non/Less Lethal Weapon	0	0	
Electronic Control Device	0	0	
Firearm pointing	8	7	-1
Total	10	14	

Individual Officer Use of Force

The average officer used force only less than one time in 2021. A number of officers used force more than the average and these officer's activities and actions were reviewed by the department for appropriateness. While each individual use of force is reviewed immediately after the event to ensure it is in compliance with department policy and law, patterns of behavior can also indicate the need for additional training or supervision. Officers can have significantly different rates in their use of force depending on the crime activity in the part of the city where they are assigned, their type of assignment, the time of day they work and their experience level.

No officers were found to be in violation of any policy.

Physical Control

The use of chemical agents went up this year to 7. Our cases of pointing firearms were down this year. In most of those cases they were high risk stops. We only had two cases of physical control.

In 2021 Police Officers used physical control __0_ times during incidents they were assigned to deal with.

Included in the category are all those incidents requiring only physical force to complete handcuffing or bring a resisting subject under control. If physical control was insufficient to bring the individual under control and a higher level of force was used, that incident is reported in the highest level used by the officers.

In _0__ instances this year, physical control was sufficient to bring the person under control. In _14_ instances, physical control was insufficient and a higher level of force was required.

Included in this category are all those instances where simple use of or issuance of verbal commands was not sufficient or effective. It includes those instances where officers place their hands on a subject and use more force than simply a strong grip, must forcibly handcuff a person, the use of pressure point control tactics or other soft hand techniques. This category also includes taking the person to the ground in order to forcible handcuff.

In the __14_ cases where physical control was insufficient, officers chose a higher level of force to gain compliance.

OC Pepper Spray

In 2021 Police Officers used department issued Oleoresin Capsicum (OC) spray chemical agent __7_ times during an arrest or other incident.

Oleoresin Capsicum is a chemical compound derived from various pepper plants and is mixed with a propellant that is used by many law enforcement agencies as a less-lethal alternative to higher and more injury producing levels of force. The chemical spray is usually directed at a resisting subject's face and the spray immediately causes a burning of the eyes, nose, and mouth causing the subject to close their eyes and in most cases stops any physical resistance. The burning will usually dissipate within 30 minutes and most often has no long term after effects.

Included in the category are those incidents where OC Spray was the highest level of force needed to complete handcuffing or bring a resisting subject under control. If the use of OC Spray was insufficient to bring the individual under control and a higher level of force was used, that incident is reported in the highest level used by the officers.

In _7__ instances this year, OC Spray was sufficient to bring the person under control. In _7_ instances, OC Spray was insufficient and a higher level of force was required.

Also included in this category are all those instances where simple use of or issuance of verbal commands was not sufficient or effective and may include other instances where other lower levels of force were used but were ineffective in resolving the issue.

Impact Weapons / Baton

In 2021 Police Officers used department issued some form of impact weapon _0__ times during an arrest or other incident.

Impact weapons include the use of the department issued ASP Baton, the use of a closed fist or any other implement that was used to deliver a strike to a person.

The department issues and trains officers in the use of the ASP collapsible baton. Training is provided to instruct officers in the proper placement of strikes that will temporarily stun an opponent allowing the officer time to complete handcuffing. Baton tactics training provided by the department avoids the use of any strikes directed at the head of an individual. If an officer does not have the time or opportunity to deploy the ASP or other less-lethal options, officers may have to defend themselves with their bare hands. If closed fist strikes were required then those instances are reported in this category.

Included in the category are those incidents where an impact weapon was the highest level of force needed to complete handcuffing or bring a resisting subject under control. If the use of an impact weapon was insufficient to bring the individual under control and a higher level of force was used, that incident is reported in the highest level used by the officers.

In __O_ instances this year, an impact weapon was sufficient to bring the person under control. In _14_ instances, the use of an impact weapon was insufficient and another level of force was required.

Also included in this category are all those instances where simple use of or issuance of verbal commands was not sufficient or effective and may include other instances where other lower levels of force were used but were ineffective in resolving the issue.

Non/Less Lethal Weapon

In 2021 Police Officers used department issued Non or less lethal Weapon __0_ times during an arrest or other incident.

The department has a number of less lethal munitions available that can be fired from specially designed firearms that are designed to inflict surprise, pain or trauma that is less severe than the use of deadly force. These less lethal munitions include bean bag rounds, rubber pellets, wooden baton rounds, as well as pepper-ball munitions fired from guns similar to paintball guns. While these rounds are designed to be less lethal than regular firearms, they are still able to cause significant damage to an individual and as such are used only as a last resort when time and opportunity permit.

Included in the category are those incidents where a non/less lethal weapon was the highest level of force needed to bring a subject under control. If the use of OC Spray was insufficient to bring the individual under control and a higher level of force was used, that incident is reported in the highest level used by the officers.

In __0_ instances this year, non/less lethal munitions were sufficient to bring the person under control. In __14_ instances, non/less lethal munitions were insufficient and a different level of force was required.

Also included in this category are all those instances where other lower levels of force were used but were ineffective in resolving the issue.

Firearms

In 2021 Police Officers pointed their firearms __7_ times during an arrest or other incident.

Firearms are by definition Deadly Force, and their use is very restricted. Officers must comply with not only their intensive training, but with the department's Deadly Force Policy and state law. When an officer fires their weapon in the line of duty, whether or not an individual is hit, a detailed investigation is undertaken to determine if that use was in compliance with both policy and law.

Included in the category are those incidents where Firearms were the highest level of force used to bring a resisting subject under control.

In __7_ instances this year, a use of a firearm was sufficient to bring the person under control. In __7 instances, Firearms were insufficient.

All cases involved pointing a firearm but no shots were fired or injuries reported.

Citizen and Internal Complaints Regarding the Use of Force

During 2021, the Police Department received a total of _1__ complaints of unnecessary or inappropriate use of force. __1_ of these complaints were Citizen generated and ___0 was Supervisor or internally generated. Each of these complaints were investigated and resolved to the level possible.

A synopsis of each complaint is provided below with the resulting outcome:

One complaint of a citizen who was detained and handcuffed during an investigation. The citizen was released after it was determined he was not the suspect. The complaint was considered unfounded as the officer had the authority to detain while investigating.

General Observations

The Kenedy Police Department has a very low use of force ratio. No suspects were seriously injured last year. The use of OC was up this year but pointing of firearms was down. Or use of force ratio remains very low.

Recommendations

No recommendations were made this year for changes to policy or procedure.

Command Review:

Any Actions to be Taken:

No actions taken

Kenedy Police Department 2021



Pursuit Review Vehicle Pursuit Analysis

Vehicle Pursuit Analysis for 2021

This analysis was conducted for the purpose of reviewing the Kenedy Police Department's Vehicle Pursuits for calendar year 2021. Vehicle Pursuits are inherently dangerous to both the officers involved and the public. The Kenedy Police Department takes its obligations seriously to provide the most effective and efficient police service with the least adverse impact on our citizens. The Kenedy Police Department is committed to protecting our citizens but at the same time ensuring the manner in which we do so does not place the public at risk of greater harm. This process requires continuous evaluation of the risks and benefits of each action.

This analysis is conducted each year to comply with Texas Law Enforcement Best Practice 7.14 and to attempt to identify methods for reducing the number of vehicle pursuits and the inherent risks involved.

Officers are trained by the department in pursuit tactics and emergency driving. However, as skilled as an officer might be in these situations, the vehicle being pursued may not have a competent driver and many times the driver may be under the influence of alcohol or drugs.

Vehicle Pursuit Reporting Requirements

Texas Law Enforcement Best Practice 7.13 and Kenedy Police Department General Order 602, requires a written report be completed anytime an officer pursues a vehicle. Kenedy Police Department General Order 602 requires all pursuits to be reported using the Department Approved form, as soon as practical after a pursuit.

Any time an officer calls a pursuit, department policy requires a Supervisor be immediately notified by the Dispatch center. The supervisor is required to monitor the pursuit and act as an uninvolved decision maker regarding the danger of the pursuit. Both the officer and Supervisor are charged with continuous evaluation of the pursuit as it occurs and are required to call off the pursuit when wither believe the risks to the officer or public outweigh the need for immediate capture of the suspect.

Each individual Pursuit Report is immediately reviewed by the Officer's Supervisor, and through the Chain of Command to the Chief of Police. At the time of the incident, the Pursuit is reviewed to ensure the use was appropriate and within the guidelines of Department Policy. If the officer initiated a pursuit inappropriately, a departmental investigation is required and the officer may receive additional training or in some cases discipline up to and including termination from employment. This annual analysis is not intended to determine if an officer acted inappropriately, but to identify department wide trends that may suggest changes in policy, training, equipment or supervision.

All reports along with a copy of the offense report are forwarded to Chief and maintained in the Chief's office.

Vehicle Pursuit Comparison 2020/2021

There was a total of _1__ Reported Vehicle Pursuits this year compared to _1__ last year. This represents a difference of _0% percent increase.

The reasons for Pursuit initiation are listed below by type with comparison to the previous year.

	2020	2021	Difference
Traffic Violation only	0	1	+1
Misdemeanor Offense	0	0	
Felony Offense	0	0	

Outstanding Warrant	0	0	
Suspicious Activity	0	0	
Suspected Intoxication	1	0	-1
Total	1	1	

Individual Officer Analysis

A number of officers were involved in pursuits more than the average and these officer's activities and actions were reviewed immediately following the incident by the department for appropriateness. While each individual vehicle pursuit is reviewed immediately after the event to ensure it is in compliance with department policy and law, patterns of behavior can also indicate the need for additional training or supervision. Officers can be involved in pursuits at significantly different rates depending on the crime activity in the part of the city where they are assigned, their type of assignment, the time of day they work and their experience level.

Reasons for a Pursuit

In 2021, there were __1_ vehicle pursuits initiated because the officer observed the driver commit a traffic violation and then failed to stop when signaled by the officer. Failure to stop for an officer is a separate violation and fleeing from an officer in a vehicle is a State Jail Felony.

This number is up from the __0_ pursuits initiated for traffic violations last year

In 2021, there were _0__ vehicle pursuits initiated because the officer became aware the driver was wanted for a Misdemeanor Offense and then the driver failed to stop when signaled by the officer. This number is up/down from the __0_ pursuits initiated for traffic violations last year.

In 2021, there were _0__ vehicle pursuits initiated because the officer became aware the driver was wanted for a Felony Offense and then failed to stop when signaled by the officer.

This number is up/down from the __0_ pursuits initiated for traffic violations last year

In 2021, there were ___0_ vehicle pursuits initiated because the officer became aware that the driver was wanted on an outstanding Misdemeanor or Felony Warrant and then the driver failed to stop when signaled by the officer.

This number is up/down from the __0_ pursuits initiated for traffic violations last year.

In 2021, there were _0__ vehicle pursuits initiated because the officer observed the driver in suspicious circumstances and then failed to stop when signaled by the officer. Officers have the authority to stop and question persons who are found in suspicious circumstances and the officer has reasonable suspicion to suspect criminal activity

This number is down from the __0_ pursuits initiated for traffic violations last year.

In 2021, there were _0__ vehicle pursuits initiated because the officer had reasonable suspicion to suspect the driver may be impaired due to alcohol or drugs and the drive then failed to stop when signaled by the officer.

This number is /down from the _0_ pursuits initiated for traffic violations last year.

Pursuit Terminations

Pursuits can be terminated at any time by the pursuing officer or any Supervisor when they believe the danger posed to the citizens is greater than the need to apprehend the offender. This "voluntary termination" may better protect the citizens than the continuation of a dangerous pursuit for minor offenses. The table below provides information on how pursuits were terminated.

Type Termination	2020	2021	Difference
Voluntary Termination – Officer	0	1	+1
Voluntary Termination – Supervisor	0	0	0
Stopped – Arrested	1	0	-1
Stopped - Fled on foot – Escaped	0	0	0
Stopped - Fled on foot — Captured	0	0	0
Accident - Violator Involved in Accident	0	0	0
Accident - Police Involved in Accident	0	0	0
Spike Strips	0	0	0
PIT Maneuver	0	0	0
Rammed	0	0	0
Firearm	0	0	0
Roadblock	0	0	0
Escaped - Not Located	0	0	0
Escaped - Captured Later	0	0	0

Damage and Injury

In 2021, there were __0_ pursuits which had some damage or injury associated with the incident as a result of the pursuit. These pursuits are summarized below:

Policy Violations

The department policy on Pursuits is reviewed periodically with officers to ensure they are aware of when they should or should not pursue a vehicle. After each pursuit, the pursuit must be reported on the Pursuit Report Form which is forwarded through the officer's Supervisor, through the Chain of Command to the Chief. If a violation of policy is identified, the Chief may decide on an appropriate response, which may include additional training or even disciplinary actions if necessary.

In 2021, there were __0_ policy violations identified in regards to pursuit initiation or termination.

Summary and Recommendations

There was only one reported pursuit in 2021. No violations of policy were noted and no training recommendations.

Command Review:

Any Actions to be Taken:

Kenedy Police Department 2021



Department Accident and Injury Analysis

Accident and Injury Analysis for 2021

This analysis was conducted for the purpose of reviewing the Kenedy Police Department's Vehicle Accidents and Personal Injuries for calendar year 2021. The Kenedy Police Department takes its obligations seriously to provide the most effective and efficient police service while ensuring employee safety. Law Enforcement is a particularly dangerous occupation and while many of our activities are fraught with risks, employees must take every action possible to remain safe and prevent accidents and injuries when possible. This analysis is

conducted each year to comply with Texas Law Enforcement Best Practice 4.10 and to attempt to identify methods for reducing the number of vehicle accidents and personnel injuries.

This analysis consists of two parts. First is the analysis of all Vehicle Accidents by members of this department, both sworn and non-sworn. The second part is an analysis of all non-vehicle personal injuries occurring during the year. The non-vehicle personal injuries can be any type of injury from straining a back due to heavy lifting to an injury occurring during a scuffle with a prisoner.

Accident and Injury Reporting Requirements

Texas Law Enforcement Best Practice 4.10, and Kenedy Police Department General Order 210, requires each vehicle accident and personal injury be reported and investigated. Policy 210 requires any time an officer is in a vehicle accident that results in property damage or personal injury. The policy also requires officers to report any on duty injury or illness regardless of the extent.

Any time an employee is involved in a vehicle accident, department policy requires a supervisor be immediately notified by the Dispatch center. The supervisor is required to go to the scene and conduct an investigation into the cause of the accident. If there is significant damage to either the police vehicle or a citizen's vehicle, the Supervisor may request the investigation be completed by a traffic accident specialist or even by another law enforcement agency.

The accident is reviewed to ensure the officer's actions were appropriate and within the guidelines of Department Policy. If the officer violated department policy or state law without justification, a departmental investigation is required and the officer may receive additional training or in some cases discipline up to and including termination from employment. This annual analysis is not intended to determine if an officer acted inappropriately, but to identify department wide trends that may suggest changes in policy, training, equipment or supervision.

All auto crashes or personal injuries are reviewed by a Board appointed by Chief per Policy 210.

Any personal injury occurring on the job that requires any form of treatment including first aid, must be reported immediately. Supervisors must complete the First Report of Injury and forward it to the Human Resources Department. Part of this reporting is attempting to determine the cause of the injury and methods for prevention. A copy of this form is maintained by the department and reviewed as part of this report to determine the causes of injuries within the department.

All reports are maintained in the Chief's office and reviewed annually.

. Vehicle Accident Comparison 2020/2021

There was a total of __0_ Reported Vehicle Accidents this year compared to _2__ last year. This represents a difference of __2_ reduction decrease.

The Accident Causes are listed below by type with comparison to the previous year.

	2020	2021	Difference
Fail to Maintain Control			
Animal in roadway	1		-1
Ran Red Light/Stop Sign			
Speeding			
Fail to secure Vehicle			
Other Driver at Fault	1		-1
Total	2	0	-2

Personal Injury Analysis 2020/2021

There was a total of __1_ personal injuries reported this year compared to __0_ last year. This represents 1 increase.

The injury causes are listed below by type with comparison to the previous year.

	2020	2021	Difference
Picked up item		1	+1
Slipped on Wet Hall Floor			
Fight with suspect			
Shot by Mental Patient			
Tripped			
Shell casing struck eye during firearms training.			
Total	0	1	+1

Individual Officer Analysis

While each employee's actions were reviewed immediately following the incident by the department, patterns of behavior can also indicate the need for additional training or supervision. Employees that were involved in multiple incidents this past year were reviewed in detail to determine if any additional training might be required.

Damage and Injury

In 2021, there were __0_ accidents, and _1__ personal injuries which had some damage or lost work time associated with the incident. These accidents and injuries are summarized below:

We had no crashes last year. We had one shoulder injury. The officer was lifting a radio off the table and torn his shoulder. The doctor advised it was due to long term stress on the shoulder.

Policy Violations

The department policy on routine and emergency driving, including pursuits is reviewed periodically with employees to ensure they know their responsibilities. If an employee is found in violation of a department

policy or law, the Chief may decide on an appropriate response, which may include additional training or even disciplinary actions if necessary.

In 2021, there were __0_ policy violations identified in regards to vehicle operation or safety violations.

Summary and Recommendations

No policy or training recommendations were made for Command.

Command Review:

Any Actions to be Taken:

No follow up actions taken on this report.

2021 Drug arrests

The Kenedy Police Department has one investigator assigned to work drug and vice crimes. In addition, we had several large seizures by Patrol officers. During the past year we have worked several investigations with other federal agencies and task forces in the area on gambling and drug cases. Below is a breakdown of the drugs seized as well as other items seized. We had 90 adults arrested for various drug or organized crime offenses.

Methamphetamine - 724.8 grams \$50 per gram \$36,250 Marijuana - 2,339.8 grams \$25per gram \$58,500 Penalty Group 3 (Alprazolam & Tramadol) 9,950.6 grams / 6,789 pills \$10 per pill \$67,890 THC - 49.4 grams \$60 per gram \$2940 Cocaine - 9.3 grams \$100 per gram \$900 MDMA - 21.9 grams (178 pills) \$10 per pill \$220 Synthetic Marijuana – 52.9 grams \$10 per gram \$520 Dangerous Drugs - 111.9 grams (431 pills) \$5 per pill \$560 Oxycodone - 8 pills / 1.2 grams \$20 per gram \$160

Total value:

\$106,319


Seized:

Cash \$61,812 2 vehicles, 25 video game machines and 1 building.


We also seized 7 firearms including 1 that was stolen here in Kenedy.

This does not include juvenile arrests.

Trends









KENEDY POLICE DEPARTMENT MONTHLY STATISTIC REPORTS

		FFD	MAAD	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	TOTAL	AVERAGE
NOVEMBER	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR			1						200	
CALLS FOR SERVICE	573	482	443	402	459	538	538	543	488	658	578	540	6242	520
OFFICER ASSISTANCE	92	89	85	101	112	86	119	128	68	163	127	105	1275	106
MV ACCIDENTS	о6	06	10	14	11	12	13	15	13	09	17	04	130	11
ARRESTS	06	07	27	18	20	19	11	07	14	14	14	18	175	14
CLASS C ARRESTS	02	00	02	04	04	02	01	02	05	03	3	01	30	2.5
DWI ARRESTS	01	01	00	00	01	00	03	00	01	01	0	04	12	1
JUVENILE INCIDENTS	о6	07	03	06	04	08	03	03	09	12	14	07	82	7
THEFT / BURGLARY	09	08	11	13	14	09	18	11	16	17	20	08	154	13
CITATIONS	433	281	401	266	405	397	466	391	404	476	484	179	4673	389
WARNINGS	118	198	281	165	306	308	328	298	285	363	372	124	3146	262
TRAFFIC STOPS	257	197	281	185	286	287	309	259	272	319	309	183	3144	262
TRUCK VIOLATIONS	68	20	04	28	27	23	28	24	42	35	19	08	362	30
MHMR	05	04	02	00	10	04	05	00	01	05	06	00	42	3.5
CLEARED CASES			54	45	46	40	36	64	45	46	41	26	516	43
CLLARED CASES	39	34) 54	43	45	4~),		73	72 To \$10				
BUILDING CHECKS	89	71	83	38	53	103	205	227	214	201	107	69	1510	125
ASSAULTS/SEXUAL	05	07	07	06	08	10	09	03	04	05	12	07	83	7

Racial Profiling Report | Full report

Agency Name:	KENEDY I	POLICE DEPARTMENT			
Reporting Date:	1/3/2023	2 TCOLE Agency Number:			
Chief Administrat	tor:	Chief Richard Ashe Agency	y Contact: Phone:		
(830) 5	83-2225				
Email:					
Mailing Address:	119 S	3rd Street, Kenedy, TX 781:	19		
This Agency filed	l a full repo	ort			
KENED)	Y POLICE				
	RTMENT	har adopted a deta	allod written policy	on racial profiling. Our r	nolicy
100 Wall V 11		nas adopted a deta	med written policy	on racial profiling. Our p	ровсу.
1) clearly def	finac acto c	onstituting racial profiling;			
i) clearly der	iiles dets e	orioteating racial provinter		KENEDY POLICE	
2) strictly pr	ohibits pea	ice officers employed by the	3	DEPARTMENT	from engaging
in racial p	profiling;				
2) i		aa buudalah an individual m	ou filo a complaint	· with the	
		ss by which an individual m LICE DEPARTMENT	ay me a complaint		
		,	NENEDY BOLL		dividual believes that a peace officer employed
		by the	KENEDY POLI	ICE DEPARTMENT	has engaged in racial profiling with respect
to the ind	lividual;				
4) provides	public edu	cation relating to the agenc	y's complaint proc	ess;	
5) requires a	appropriate	e corrective action to be tak	en against a peac	e officer employed by th	ne
		LICE DEPARTMENT			
			. KE	wno, arte ENEDY POLICE DEPART	er an investigation, is shown to have engaged in MENT
racial pro	ofiling in vio	olation of the			
				<i>2</i>	
			otor vehicle stops	in which a citation is iss	ued and to arrests made as a result of
those sto	ps, includi	ng information relating to:			
a, t	he race or	ethnicity of the individual d	letained;		
b. v	whether a s	search was conducted and,	if so, whether the	individual detained cons	sented to the search;

C. W	whether the peace officer knew the race or ethnicity of the individual detained before detaining that individual;
d. v	whether the peace officer used physical force that resulted in bodily injury during the stop, the location of the stop;
f. th	ne reason for the stop.
	the chief administrator of the agency, regardless of whether the administrator is elected, employed, or appointed, to n annual report of the information collected under Subdivision
a. t	he Commission on Law Enforcement; and
b. t	he governing body of each county or municipality served by the agency, if the agency is an agency of a county, nunicipality, or other political subdivision of the state.
KENEI The	DY POLICE DEPARTMENT has satisfied the statutory data audit requirements as prescribed in
Article 2, 133((c), Code of Criminal Procedure during the reporting period.
Executed by:	Chief Richard Ashe
Date: 1/3/2	2022

Total stops:

3,150

100.00%

Street address or approximate location of the stop

City street:

2,691 85.43%

US highway:

371 11.78%

State highway:

67 2.13%

County road:

0.00%

Private property or other:

21 0.67%

Was race or ethnicity known prior to stop?

Yes:

<u>25 0.79%</u>

No: 3,125

99.21%

Race or ethnicity

Alaska Native/American Indian:

0.16%

Asian/Pacific Islander:

31 0.98%

Black:

184 5.84%

1,790

White: <u>1,140</u>

36.19%

Hispanic/Latino:

<u>56.83%</u>

3.19%

Gender

Female:

Total

939 29.81%

Alaska Native/American Indian

Asian/Pacific Islander 9

_Hispanic/Latino

0.96%

59.32%

55,79%

557

1,233

Male:

Total

2,210 70.16%

Black 30

Alaska Native/American Indian
Black 154 6.97%

0.18% Asian/Pacific Islander

36.06% Hispanic/Latino

36,42%

22 1.00%

Reason for stop?

Violation of law:

Total 288 9.14%

0.11%

White <u>342</u>

White <u>797</u>

	Alaska Native/American Indian Black 48 16.67%	_ <u>1</u> White	<u>0.35%</u> _96	Asian/Pacific Islander <u>4</u> 33.33%Hispanic/Latino <u>139</u>	1.39% 48.26%
Preexisting k			,,3		
Total	14 0.44%				
rotai	Alaska Native/American Indian	<u>0</u>	0.00%	Asian/Pacific Islander 0	0.00%
	Black 2 0.69%		_3	1.04% Hispanic/Latino 9	<u>3.13%</u>
Moving traffi				·	
Total	<u>1,800 57.14%</u>				
7000	Alaska Native/American Indian	_1_	0.06%	Asian/Pacific Islander <u>15</u>	<u>0.83%</u>
	Black <u>60 3.33%</u>	White	661	36.72% Hispanic/Latino 1,063	<u>59.06%</u>
Vehicle traffi				·	
Total	1,048 33.27%				
	Alaska Native/American Indian	<u>3</u>	0.29%	Asian/Pacific Islander 12	<u>1.15%</u>
	Black <u>74 7.06%</u>	White	380	36.26% Hispanic/Latino 579	<u>55.25%</u>
Was a se	arch conducted?				
Yes:					
Total	<u>195</u> <u>6.19%</u>				
	Alaska Native/American Indian	<u>0</u>	<u>0.00%</u>	Asian/Pacific Islander <u>0</u>	0.00%
	Black <u>6 3.08%</u>	White	<u>39</u>	20.00% Hispanic/Latino 150	<u>76.92%</u>
No:					
Total	<u>2,955</u> 93.81%				
	Alaska Native/American Indian	<u>5</u>	<u>0.17%</u>	Asian/Pacific Islander 31	1.05%
	Black <u>178 6.02%</u>	White	<u>1,101</u>	37,26% Hispanic/Latino 1,640	<u>55.50%</u>
Reason f	or Search?				
	01 000.011				
Consent: Total	76 2.41%				
TOLai	Alaska Native/American Indian	0	0.00%	Asian/Pacific Islander <u>0</u>	0.00%
	Black 0 0.00%	<u>.0</u> White		Asiany acine islander	80.26%
Contraband		Wille		19.74% Hispanic/Latino 61	
Total	0 <u>0.00%</u>				
rotai	Alaska Native/American Indian	0	0.00%	Asina/Pasific Islandor	0.00%
	Black 0 0.00%	<u>ب</u> White		ASIAN/PACING ISIANGEI	 0.00%
		AAtuce		0.00% Hispanic/Latino U	
Probable ca					
Total	<u>35 1.11%</u>				

Inventory:	Alaska Native/American Indian Black 3 8.57%	0 10 0.00% White	Asian/Pacific Islander <u>0</u> 28.57% Hispanic/Latino <u>22</u>	<u>0.00%</u> <u>62.86%</u>
Total	54 Alaska Native/American Indian Black <u>1</u>	<u>.0</u> <u>.8</u> White	Asian/Pacific Islander <u>0</u> Hispanic/Latino <u>45</u>	
Incident to a	rrest:			
Total	30 1.71% Alaska Native/American Indian Black 2 1.85%	<u>0</u> <u>6</u> <u>0.00%</u> White	Asian/Pacific Islander <u>0</u> 14.81% Hispanic/Latino <u>22</u>	0.00% 83.33%
Was Cont	raband discovered?			
Yes: Total 23_No: Total	31 0.98% Alaska Native/American Indian Asian/Pacific Islander 0 Black 0 0.00% White 8 25.81% Hispanic/Latino 3,119 99.02%	<u>0</u> 0.00% 0.00% 74.19%	Did the finding result in arrest (total should equal previous column)? Yes 0 0.00% No 0 0 Yes 0 0.00% No 0 0 Yes 0 0.00% No 0 0 Yes 4 50.00% No 4 4 Yes 11 47.83% No 12	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 50.00% 52.17%
·	Alaska Native/American Indian Black 184 5.90%	<u>5</u> White 0.16%	Asian/Pacific Islander <u>31</u> 36.29% Hispanic/Latino <u>1,767</u>	<u>56.65%</u>
Description	on of contraband			
Drugs:				
Total	20 0.63% Alaska Native/American Indian Black 0 0.00%	<u>0</u> White <u>0.00%</u>	Asian/Pacific Islander <u>o</u> 25.00% Hispanic/Latino <u>15</u>	0.00% 75.00%
Currency:				
Total	0.00%			

	Alaska Native/American Indian Black 0 0.00%	<u>.0</u> White	_0_	0.00%	Asian/Pacific Islander <u>0</u> 0.00% Hispanic/Latino <u>0</u>	0.00% 0.00%
Weapons:						
Total	0 0.00% Alaska Native/American Indian Black 0 0.00%	<u>0</u> White	_0	0.00%	Asian/Pacific Islander <u>0</u> 0.00% Hispanic/Latino <u>0</u>	<u>0.00%</u> <u>0.00%</u>
Alcohol:						
Total	1 0.03%					
	Alaska Native/American Indian	<u>.0</u>	0	<u>0.00%</u>	Asian/Pacific Islander 0	0.00%
	Black <u>0 0.00%</u>	White			0.00% Hispanic/Latino $\frac{1}{}$	<u>100,00%</u>
Stolen proper	ty:					
Total	1 0.03%				•	0.000/
	Alaska Native/American Indian	_0	_1	0.00%	Asian/Pacific Islander0	0.00%
	Black <u>0 0,00%</u>	White			100.00% Hispanic/Latino 0	0.00%
Other:						
Total	9 0.29%	_			0	0.000/
	Alaska Native/American Indian	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0.00%</u>	Asian/Pacific Islander0	<u>0.00%</u> 77.78%
	Black <u>0 0.00%</u>	White			22.22% Hispanic/Latino 7	77.7670
Result of Verbal warni Total	•					
	Alaska Native/American Indian	1		<u>0.26%</u>	Asian/Pacific Islander $\frac{2}{}$	<u>0.52%</u>
	Black <u>16 4.16%</u>	White	15	3	39.74% Hispanic/Latino 213	<u>55.32%</u>
Written warr	ning:					
Total	<u>1,681</u> <u>53.37%</u>					
	Alaska Native/American Indian	<u>3</u>		0.18%	Asian/Pacific Islander 11	0.65%
	Black <u>79 4.70%</u>	White	66		39.32% Hispanic/Latino 927	<u>55.15%</u>
Citation:						
Total	1,056 33.52%				40	4 700/
	Alaska Native/American Indian	<u>1</u>		0.09%	Asian/Pacific Islander 18	1.70%
	Black <u>86 8.14%</u>	White	31	19	30.21% Hispanic/Latino 632	<u>59,85%</u>
Written war	ning and arrest:					
Total	20 0.63%					

	Alaska Native/American Indian Black 2 10.00%	<u>0</u> White <u>5</u>	0.00%	Asian/Pacific Islander <u>0</u> 25,00% Hispanic/Latino <u>13</u>	0.00% 65.00%
Citation and					
Total	7 0.22%				
	Alaska Native/American Indian	<u>0</u>	0.00%	Asian/Pacific Islander0	<u>0.00%</u>
	Black <u>1 14,29%</u>	White 2		28.57% Hispanic/Latino 4	<u>57.14%</u>
Arrest:					
Total	1 0.03%				
	Alaska Native/American Indian	<u>0</u>	0.00%	Asian/Pacific Islander <u>0</u>	<u>0.00%</u>
	Black <u>0 0.00%</u>	White <u>0</u>		0.00% Hispanic/Latino 1	100.00%
Arrest ba	sed on				
Violation of F	Penal Code:				
Total	13 0.41%				
	Alaska Native/American Indian	<u>0</u>	0.00%	Asian/Pacific Islander0	<u>0.00%</u>
	Black <u>1 7.69%</u>	White 3		23.08% Hispanic/Latino 9	<u>69.23%</u>
Violation of	Fraffic Law:	.2	i	•	
Total	12 0.38%				
	Alaska Native/American Indian	<u>0</u>	0.00%	Asian/Pacific Islander0	<u>0.00%</u>
	Black <u>1 8.33%</u>	White _3		25.00% Hispanic/Latino 8	<u>66.67%</u>
Violation of	City Ordinance:	<u></u>	,	·	
Total	0 0.00%				
	Alaska Native/American Indian	<u>.0</u>	0.00%	Asian/Pacific Islander $\frac{0}{1}$	<u>0.00%</u>
	Black <u>0 0.00%</u>	White <u>c</u>		0.00% Hispanic/Latino 0	<u>0.00%</u>
Outstanding	Warrant:	<u>_u</u>	<u>.</u>	•	
Total	3 0.10%				
	Alaska Native/American Indian	<u>0</u>	0.00%	Asian/Pacific Islander 0	<u>0.00%</u>
	Black <u>1 33,33%</u>	White _ <u>1</u>		33.33% Hispanic/Latino 1	<u>33.33%</u>
		<u></u>	<u>.</u>		
Was phys	sical force resulting in bodil	y injury use	d during	stop?	
Yes:					
Total	2 0.06%				
1000	Alaska Native/American Indian	<u>0</u>	0.00%	Asian/Pacific Islander <u>0</u>	0.00%
	Black <u>0 0.00%</u>	White _!	Ō	0.00% Hispanic/Latino 2	100.00%
No:					
Total	3,148 99.94%				
	Alaska Native/American Indian	<u>.5</u>	0.16%	Asian/Pacific Islander 31	0.98%
	Black 184 <u>5.84%</u>	White _	<u>1,140</u>	36.21% Hispanic/Latino 1,788	<u>56.80%</u>

Number of complaints of racial profiling	Number	of	complaints	of	racial	profiling?
--	--------	----	------------	----	--------	------------

Total (

0.00%

Resulted in disciplinary action

0

0.00%

Did not result in disciplinary action

0

0.00%

Comparative Analysis

Motor Vehicle Stops vs. Gender Ethnic Population of Service Area KENEDY POLICE DEPARTMENT

Racial Profile Data Provided by Kologik COPsync Mobile	# of Stops	% of Stops	Agency Service Are Provided by Agency O	
Male	2,210	70.16%	Male	59%
Female	939	29.81%	Female	41%
51				
Alaska Native/American Indian	5	0.16%	Alaska Native/American Indian	1.1%
Asian/Pacific Islander	31	0.98%	Asian/Pacific Islander	1%
Black	184	5.84%	Black	9.1%
White	1,140	36.19%	White	34.2%
Hispanic/Latino	1,790	56.83%	Hispanic/Latino	56.4%
			Other/Not Reported Above	

DATA SOURCE USED FOR AGENCY SERVICE AREA DEMOGRAPHICS:

Taken from US Census webpage

ADDITIO	ONAL INFORMATION THAT N	MAY INFLUENCE AND/OR I	MPACT DATA REPORTED	D:	



Building Department & Code Compliance Reports

BUILDING/CODE COMPLIANCE REPORT DECEMBER 2021

	STATUS	City and county issued a911 address for cusomer, CLOSED	Bureau Veritas passed foundation inspection, CLOSED	Owner has failed to comply, City will be cleaning property, ACTIVE	Owner has failed to comply, City will be cleaning property, ACTIVE	City emailed AOKA with a gas inspection request, ACTIVE	City emailed Centerpoint for gas service, CLOSED	City emailed AOKA for a electrical inspection, ACTIVE	City emailed AEP with ESID# for electrical service, CLOSED	City emailed AOKA for a electrical inspection, ACTIVE	City emailed AOKA with a gas inspection request, ACTIVE	City emailed AEP with ESID# for electrical service, CLOSED	City emailed Centerpoint for gas service, CLOSED	City issued a demolition permit to demolish a structure, CLOSED	City emailed AEP with ESID# for electrical service, CLOSED	City emailed AOKA with a gas inspection request, ACTIVE	City emailed Centerpoint for gas service, CLOSED	City emailed AOKA with a gas inspection request, ACTIVE	City emailed Centerpoint for gas service, CLOSED	City emailed AOKA with a gas inspection request, ACTIVE	City emailed Centerpoint for gas service, CLOSED	City emailed AOKA with a gas inspection request, ACTIVE	City emailed Centerpoint for gas service, CLOSED		CALLED THE THE PROPERTY OF THE					A CALLEGORIA CONTROL C		
A A MANAGEMENT CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF T	ACTION	911 address issued	Building inspection passed	City inspected property	City inspected property	Permit fee paid for \$126.15	Gas inspection passed	Permit fee paid for \$126.15	Electrical inspection passed	Permit fee paid for \$126.15	Permit fee paid for \$126.15	Electrical inspection passed	Gas inspection passed	Permit fee paid for \$1.00	Electrical inspection passed	Permit fee paid for \$126.15	Gas inspection passed	Permit fee paid for \$126.15	Gas inspection passed	Permit fee waived by City manager	Gas inspection passed	Permit fee paid for \$126.15	Gas inspection passed				AND THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT			- Control Cont	 	
1000000 TO THE TOTAL THE T	VIOLATION/PURPOSE	911 address	Building inspection	High grass/weeds	High grass/weeds	Gas permit	Gas inspection	Electrical permit	Electrical inspection	Electrical permit	Gas permit	Electrical inspection	Gas inspection	Demolition permit	Electrical inspection	Gas permit	Gas inspection	Gas permit	Gas inspection	Gas permit	Gas inspection	Gas permit	Gas inspection	A CANADA TO THE CONTRACT OF TH								
W FARM AND THE PARTY OF THE PAR	LOCATION	907 Dewitt St.	805 W. Main St.	500 Block of Helena Rd.	400 Block of Butler St.	116 N. 5th. St.	116 N. 5th. St.	417 W. Dailey St.	417 W. Dailey St.	302 Nueces St.	302 Nueces St.	302 Nueces St.	302 Nueces St.	249 Booe St.	208 N. Sunset Strip	308 Nueces St	308 Nueces St	705 I oma Vista	705 Loma Vista	330 Helena Rd.	330 Helena Rd.	102 Waverly St.	102 Waverly St.	a think of the first of the fir			The second secon	1/4 (1/4) (1	The second secon	A THE PARTY OF THE	 (1) - 1000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	VANUATION
		12/1/2021	12/1/2021	12/17/2021	12/1/2021	12/1/2021	12/1/2021	12/13/2021	12/14/2021	12/17/2021	12/17/2021	12/20/2021	12/20/2021	12/21/2021	1212112121	12/28/2021	12/20/2011	12/29/2021	12/29/2011	1202/2021	12/29/2021	12/31/2021	12/31/2021			-						

BUILDING/CODE COMPLIANCE REPORT DECEMBER 2021

Service calls	Building permits issued	HVAC permits issued	
22	0	0	
	Electrical permits issued	Electrical inspections	
	2	9	
	Plumbing permits issued	Plumbing inspections	James. The
	0	0	Control of the state of Allers
	Gas nermits issued	Gas inspections	Submitted by Jaime S. Albiar Building Official
	9	. 9	
	Certificate of Occupancy	911 addresses issued	
	0	1	
	Dangerous structure violations	Zoning violations	
	0	0	
	Alleyways cleaned	Plan reviews	
	0	0	
	Fees collected, all inspections	Collection Station disposals	
	and permits	41	
	\$884.05		
	Lien(s) filed	Lien amount total(s)	
	0	0\$	
	Violation letters issued	Properties cleaned	
	0	0	
	Statements mailed for	Amount of statements mailed	
	non-compliant owners	0	
	0		
		,	
	Payments made to the City	Amount of paymnets	
	\$0	\$0	

PLANNING AND ZONING REPORT FOR NOVEMBER 2021

LOCATION	VIOLATION/PURPOSE	ACTION	STATUS
412 Mixon St. & 416 Mixon St.	Vacate/Re-plat	No action taken/Lack fo quorum	Tabled/Next meeting
249 Booe St.	Variance	No action taken/Lack fo quorum	Tabled/Next meeting
			(
			1 000:
			7
			Charl.
			Submitted by : Jaime S. Albiar



Streets Department &

Parks Department
Reports



Street Department

Report for December 2021

STREETS and MOWING

Street crews worked on Hand Patching/Grading for a total of **5** days and using **15.5** cubic yards of Type D Plus Asphalt, and **10.25** CY of Patch Pro Material.

Street crews worked on patching **198** Potholes during a **4**-day stretch and used **24.4** cubic yards of Type D Plus Asphalt. Total Type D Asphalt used for the month: **39.9** CY, and **10.2** CY of Patch Pro.

Crews performed base repair $\underline{2}$ days, and used **6.8** cubic yards of Base, with $\underline{6}$ bags of Portland Cement being used to stabilize the base, and removed $\underline{6.8}$ cubic yards of roadway material. Crews also Swept a total of **7** days.

Crews Mowed and Weed Trimmed **18** Roadways during the month. The Crews worked on Mowing, Shredding, Weed Eating, and Picking up Trash at the Kenedy Regional Airport for **2** days.

SIGNS, ETC.

Crew Replaced 4 signs, and Installed 4 new Stop Signs.

CONSTRUCTION

Crews worked on drainage for **2** days, and cleaned Curb and Gutters for **2** days removing **28** CY of silt.

Misc.

Crews Replaced 6 Trash Carts, 1 New Cart and Picked Up/Removed 3 trash carts.

Crews picked up trash from the receptacles in downtown and City Hall 9 on days.

PM was performed on **2** days, where all the equipment was serviced in one way or another. Crew also worked on setting up the lights for Christmas in the Park for **2** days. Crew worked **19**

days turning the light on and off at the walking trail.

Employees

The Street Dept. had 1 employee resignation, and 1 employee termination during the month of December. Street Dept. was down 5 employees for the month of December from being fully staffed.



Park Department

Report for December 2021

Sports Complex

Park crew worked on dragging/sweeping the fields 4 days, cleaning up and removing trash from the receptacles 4 days, and weed trimming around the fields 11 days

Kid Park and Pavilion

Park crew mowed and weed trimmed in the Kid Park 15 days, and picked up trash 16 days, trimmed up and hauled off the trees 2 days. Crew cleaned up the Pavilion 16 days. Crew worked on tightening & fixing some of the equipment in the Kid Park in relation to safety.

Walking Trail, Bridge, Basketball Court, & Gazebo

Crews mowed and weed trimmed around the Walking Trail, Basketball courts, Bridge, Gazebo 12 days. Crews picked up trash from around the Walking Trail, Basketball courts, Bridge, Gazebo 19 days. Crew picked up tree branches in these areas on 2 days. Crew worked on stringing lights on the Bridge, Gazebo, and Wind Mill, as well as helping out the street crew and Park crew worked on reconnecting Christmas lights 15 days due to wildlife running through the displays.

Nottingham Lots and Soccer Fields

Park crew mowed and weed trimmed the lots and soccer field **3** days, and picked up trash in this area **3** days.

	0	4	. V) -	н о	0 0	0 ;	170	190	35	76	6 1	7/	> ;	21	0	4	98	39	4 '	4 (∞ (0		738	738	0	
31																												
30		Γ	T	T	C	7	T	4			T							∞			ľ	2	-	8	,		TOTAL	
29							_	12		_	12	_	12			\perp		∞									5	
28		L	L					16		-	12	_	12	1				∞							9	4		
27		L		1		1	1						1					2		-	-					2		
5 26			-			-														-						5		
24 25	1	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-		-		+	+	-			-	D		
23 2	1		1	71	-	-		4		9			-		9				9			4			9	28		
22 2	1	100	-					16			16		16		4			7		-						24		
21 2	1	┞	+	+	+	+	_	12	+		17		12	+	+	\dashv	-	2	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	+	4		77		
20 2	1	ŀ	-	ΣŢ	+	+	\dashv	4	\dashv	9	-	4	7	\dashv	_	\dashv	\dashv	2	9	\dashv	-	+	\dashv	_		36		
			,	7			-											2						1000		7		
18 19	1		4	+	-	+												2								9		
17 1	1	h						4							00			2					T			14		
16			I					_∞	48		∞	∞						2	1 4							78		
1 15		L	1		4	4			52	8 7	_		\dashv				4	2 2	5 10		_	_	_	_		19 71		
13 14	-	ŀ	+	+	+	-	_	12	-	-	8	8	\dashv	-	-			2				-	_	9		36 1		
12 1	_							_										2								7		
11																		2								7		
10								12			12		12					2								38		
6	4	_	1						72	8	4	4			æ			2 2	4	4	4			2 4	-	1 82		
7 8	4	-	+	\dashv	1	9		4	18	_	4	7	4		-	_	L	7	4	_	_	_	-	-		43 31		
9		-	4	\dashv				8	1		4		4				_	2	\vdash	_			_	9		24 7		
2		- STATE			2													2								2		
D	-											7						oo								00		
r	7	ľ						4					Г					8								12		
0	7	ľ																8				2		Γ		10 10		
7	7	f												Г				00				2				10		
	-			,													ETS											
		STREET REPORT	UTS	VEHICLE / EQUIPMENT MAINT	NEW TRASH CART	REPLACE /PICKUP TRASH CART	BRUSH PICK UP	TRASH PICK UP	PATCH POT HOLES	STREET REPAIR	WEED EATED	MOW ALLEY/DITCH	MOW RIGHT OF WAY	Tree/ BRANCH Removal	SCRAPE CURB/ DRAINAGE DITCH	TOPSOIL METERS/CURB/ETC	REMOVE DIRT ON PROPERTY/STREETS	WORKED AT CITY PARK	STREET SWEED	REPLACE / REPAIR STREET SIGNS	INSTALL STREET SIGNS	SERVICE REQUEST- BUT NCBD	SPRAY FOR MOSOUITOS	MICC WORK				
		STREE	CALL OUTS	VEHIC	NEW 1	REPLA	BRUSH	TRASH	PATCH	STREE	WEED	MOM	MOM	Tree/	SCRAP	TOPACT	PEMO	WORK NORK	STRFF	RFPLA	INSTA	SFRVI	SPRAY	COLV	5			

HALF WORK DAY HOLIDAY



City Secretary Report

Monthly Report December 2021



City Secretary Report

- Job Fair scheduled for January 21, 2022.
- Savvy Citizen has been fully implemented. Now have the Savvy Citizen Calendar on the City website. Citizen enrollment has started.
- Now have an Ordinances / Resolutions tab on the Transparency page of the website. At this time, 2021 to present have been uploaded. https://www.kenedytx.gov/ordinances-resolutions/
- Utility Billing
 - o New Services:
 - 7 Residential
 - 0 Commercial
 - o Disconnect Services:
 - 4 Residential
 - 0 Commercial

Fire Report

- Responded to seven (7) calls
- 650 Gallons of water used
- Averaged 8 personal responding to calls

Court Report

• Court saw 167 defendants and collected a total of \$23,031.12 with a net to the City of \$16,548.91.

Event Planning

Introduce Amy Desharnais. Event Planning presentation by Desharnais.

City of Kenedy Revised 01/06/2022



Kenedy Volunteer Fire Department 303 W. Main Kenedy, Texas 78119

"Always Ready"

December 2021

Escort for John Paul Catholic church- 1

Smoke in Hotel room- 1

Toys for Tots- 2 (Dec. 5th and 18th)

Tractor Fire- 1

Traffic Control- 1

Vehicle Accident- 1

Total Incidents=7

Water used-650

Average amount of Personal Responding to each Incident- 8

OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION TEXAS JUDICIAL COUNCIL



OFFICIAL MUNICIPAL COURT MONTHLY REPORT

Month_	December	Year _	2021		
Municipal Court for	the City of _				
Presiding Judge_	LEE AZOPARI	OI .			
If new, d	ate assumed office		····		
Court Mailing Address_	303 W. MAIN	ST. #A			
City _	KENEDY	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	TX	Zip	78119
Phone Number_	830-583-364	1			
Fax Number_	830-583-206	3			
Court's Public Email_	kenedymunico	ourt@cityofken	edy.org		
Court's Website	www.kenedy	tickets.com			
THE ATTACHED I	S A TRUE AND AC	CURATE REFLECT	TON OF THE P	RECORDS	OF THIS COURT
Prepared by	G Martinez	MANAGEMENT .			
Date	01-04-2022	P	hone Number	830-	583-3641

PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM NO LATER THAN 20 DAYS FOLLOWING THE END OF THE MONTH REPORTED TO:

OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION
PO BOX 12066
AUSTIN, TX
78711-2066

PHONE: (512) 463-1625 FAX: (512) 936-2423

CRIMINAL SECTION

Court CITY OF KENEDY MUNICIPAL COURT	Tra	ffic Misdemea		Non-T	raffic Misdem	eanors
Month December Year 2021	Non-Parking	Parking	City Ordinance	Penal Code	Other State Law	City Ordinance
1. Total Cases Pending First of Month:	2,035	8	1	663	393	503
a. Active Cases	1,526	7	1	546	189	475
b. Inactive Cases	509	1	0	117	204	28
2. New Cases Filed	63	0	0	17	4	40
3. Cases Reactivated	5	0	0	0	3	
4. All Other Cases Added	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Total Cases on Docket (Sum of Lines 1a, 2, 3 & 4)	1,594	7	1	563		
6. Dispositions Prior to Court Appearance or Trial: a. Uncontested Dispositions (Disposed without appearance before a judge (CCP Art. 27.14))	43	0	0	2	196	515
b. Dismissed by Prosecution	1	0	0		3	<u>15</u>
7. Dispositions at Trial: a. Convictions:				0	1	0
1) Guilty Plea or Nolo Contendere	0	0	0	0	0	0
2) By the Court	0	0	0	0	0	0
3) By the Jury	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Acquittals: 1) By the Court						
2) By the Jury	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Dismissed by Prosecution 8. Compliance Dismissals:	0	0	0	0	0	2
a. After Driver Safety Course (CCP, Art. 45.0511)	1					
b. After Deferred Disposition (CCP, Art. 45.051)	3	0	0	1	0	0
c. After Teen Court (CCP, Art. 45.052)	0	0	0	0	o	0
d. After Tobacco Awareness Course (HSC, Sec. 161,253)		<u> </u>			0	<u> </u>
e. After Treatment for Chemical Dependency (CCP, Art. 45.053)				0	0	
f. After Proof of Financial Responsibility (TC, Sec. 601.193)	9					
g. All Other Transportation Code Dismissals	5	0	0	0	0	0
9. All Other Dispositions	o	0	0	9	2	0
10. Total Cases Disposed (Sum of Lines 6, 7, 8 & 9)	62	0	0	12	6	17
11. Cases Placed on Inactive Status	0	0	0	0	0	0
12. Total Cases Pending End of Month:	2,036	8	1	668	391	526
a. Active Cases (Equals Line 5 minus the sum of Lines 10 & 11)	1,530	7	1	552	189	499
b. Inactive Cases (Equals Line 1b minus Line 3 plus Line 11)	506	1	0	116	202	27
13. Show Cause Hearings Held	0	0	0	0	0	1
14. Cases Appealed:				-	······	
a. After Trial	0	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>
b, Without Trial	0	0	0	0	0	0

CIVIL/ADMINISTRATIVE SECTION

Court CITY OF KENEDY MUNICIPAL COURT	
Month December Year 2021	TOTAL CASES
1. Total Cases Pending First of Month:	О
a. Active Cases	0
b. Inactive Cases	0
2. New Cases Filed	0
3. Cases Reactivated	0
4. All Other Cases Added	0
5. Total Cases on Docket (Sum of Lines 1a, 2, 3 & 4)	0
DISPOSITIONS	
6. Uncontested Civil Fines or Penalties	0
7. Default Judgments	0
8. Agreed Judgments	0
9. Trial/Hearing by Judge/Hearing Officer	0
lo. Trial by Jury	0
1. Dismissed for Want of Prosecution	0
2. All Other Dispositions	0
3. Total Cases Disposed (Sum of Lines 6 through 12)	0
4. Cases Placed on Inactive Status	0
5. Total Cases Pending End of Month:	0
a. Active Cases (Equals Line 5 minus the sum of Lines 13 & 14)	0
b. Inactive Cases (Equals Line 1b minus Line 3 plus Line 14)	0
16. Cases Appealed:	
a. After Trial	0
b. Without Trial	0

JUVENILE/MINOR ACTIVITY

Court CITY OF KENEDY MUNICIPAL COURT	
Month December Year 2021	TOTAL
1. Transportation Code Cases Filed	3
2. Non-Driving Alcoholic Beverage Code Cases Filed	0
3. Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol Cases Filed	
4. Drug Paraphernalia Cases Filed (HSC, Ch. 481)	0
5. Tobacco Cases Filed (HSC, Sec. 161.252)	0
6. Failure to Attend School Cases Filed (Ed.Code, Sec. 25.094)	0
7. Education Code (Except Failure to Attend) Cases Filed	0
8. Violation of Local Daytime Curfew Ordinance Cases Filed (Local Govt. Code, Sec. 341.905)	0
9. All Other Non-Traffic Fine-Only Cases Filed	0
10. Transfer to Juvenile Court;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
a. Mandatory Transfer (Fam.Code, Sec. 51.08(b)(1))	0
b. Discretionary Transfer (Fam.Code, Sec. 51.08(b)(2))	0
11. Accused of Contempt and Referred to Juvenile Court (Delinquent Conduct) (CCP, Art. 45.050(c)(1))	0
12. Held in Contempt by Criminal Court (Fined and/or Denied Driving Privileges) (CCP, Art. 45.050(c)(2))	0
13. Juvenile Statement Magistrate Warning:	
a. Warnings Administered	0
b. Statements Certified (Fam.Code, Sec. 51,095)	0
14. Detention Hearings Held (Fam. Code, Sec. 54.01)	0
15. Orders for Non-Secure Custody Issued	o
16. Parent Contributing to Nonattendance Cases Filed (Ed. Code, Sec. 25.093)	O
	0

ADDITIONAL ACTIVITY

Court CITY OF KENEDY MUNICIPAL COURT		
Month December Year 2021	NUMBER GIVEN	NUMBER REQUESTS
1. Magistrate Warnings:	NUMBER GIVEN	FOR COUNSEL
a. Class C Misdemeanors	0	
b. Class A and B Misdemeanors	5	9
c. Felonies	5	5
2. Arrest Warrants Issued:		TOTAL
a. Class C Misdemeanors		11
b. Class A and B Misdemeanors	***************************************	
c. Felonies		1
3. Capiases Pro Fine Issued	****	3
4.6I.W		0
4. Search Warrants Issued		0
5. Warrants for Fire, Health and Code Inspections Filed (CCP, Art. 1	8.05)	0
6. Examining Trials Conducted		0
7. Emergency Mental Health Hearings Held		0
8. Magistrate's Orders for Emergency Protection Issued		
9. Magistrate's Orders for Ignition Interlock Device Issued (CCP, Ar	v. 17.44I)	0
10. All Other Magistrate's Orders Issued Requiring Conditions for	Release on Bond	0
11. Driver's License Denial, Revocation or Suspension Hearings He	ld	0
12. Disposition of Stolen Property Hearings Held (CCP, Ch. 47)		0
3. Peace Bond Hearings Held		0
4. Cases in Which Fine and Court Costs Satisfied by Community S a. Partial Satisfaction	Service:	0
b. Full Satisfaction		0
5. Cases in Which Fine and Court Costs Satisfied by Jail Credit		4
6. Cases in Which Fine and Court Costs Waived for Indigency		0
7. Amount of Fines and Court Costs Waived for Indigency	***	0.00
8. Fines, Court Costs and Other Amounts Collected: a. Kept by City		15,132.21
b. Remitted to State		6,073.71
c. Total		21,205.92
		21,200,32